'Chapter 7'  
'Chance'  
'Evolution'  

'Presupposition: The human species emerged from natural evolutionary forces.'  
Secular humanism views man in his origin as a product of chance through an evolutionary process. Evolution is one of the greatest hoaxes ever fostered on the human race. This non-scientific view is a presupposition held by faith. It is propagated by covering the truth. Missing links proven to be fraudulent still appear in the text books, to support the descent of man.¹ Everyone is an evolutionary animal, he has no soul or spirit. Neither is there continuing life. All human beings can be described within the bounds of chemistry and physics. The spiritual nature in the sense of an eternal soul is clearly denied. Intellectual and moral character is created by the experiences of the economic environment (Communism's view).

The Humanist Manifesto I (1933) in the following tenets state:  
First: Religious humanists regard the universe as self-existing and not created.  
Second: Humanism believes that man is a part of nature and that he has emerged as the result of continuous process.  
Third: Hold an organic view of life, humanists find that the traditional dualism of mind and body must be rejected.  

The Humanist Manifest II (1973) continues in the same vein:  
Second: ... Modern science discredits such historic concepts as the "ghost in the machine" and the "inseparable soul." Rather, science affirms that the human species is an emergence from natural evolutionary forces. ...  

The myth of evolution has produced a theory of the survival of the fittest. It is a theory of conflict, pitting one creature and against the others. The universe or progress is the result of chance and the survival of the war between and even within the species. The self centredness of the view has led to a view man, who has risen to the top is now responsible for the destruction of the environment. In some circles the human race is so hated, it is believed human population should be reduced to a minimum if not eliminated altogether.  

The conflict of interests moves into the political, social and economic realms. There is a conflict between the upper and lower classes. The capitalists and socialists enter the fray to destroy each other. The giants of industry encourage tariffs and look for monopolies in order to destroy the competitors. They see labour as the enemy, labour views the employer as the enemy. Farmers view both business and urban society as enemies. The whole conflict mentality of society has led the minorities to view the majority as adversaries. The whole of society has become a survival of the fittest situation. An anonymous writer put it well when he said, "Under capitalism man exploits man, under socialism it's just the opposite."² The alternative from a Biblical and creationist's viewpoint would be working together to solve the problems.

¹ e.g. Java man, piltdown man, pithecanthropus erectus and peking man are built from one or two bones or teeth of humans and piltdodwn man was built from the bone or an ape.  
² Equity Money guide Special Edition 1995 page 37
The conflict of interests philosophy leads to increased government control. The government enacts legislation, such as affirmative action or minimum wage rules on the basis of the adversarial system. It then becomes the arbiter thereby increasing their influence and power.

The conflict philosophy is further seen in the legal system. Truth is sacrificed to expediency. A lawyer gains wealth by winning not finding the truth. Therefore the adversarial system is used to discredit witnesses and to win. Guilt or innocence is no longer the criteria for our legal system. Winning is the mark of success. The best interests of the prosecution and defense are in their adversarial relationship. The conflict is not only between the lawyers themselves, but moves into the citizens who are victimized by the system. The state increases its power as a result of their involvement in this struggle. (fig.II)

Another aspect of organic evolution is the evolution produced by human effort. Evolutionists see the human being as responsible for the continued upward evolution of man and his environment. Perceptive
foresight, diligent effort and a strong determination can transform man and his society. Those who are wise do not sit back and wait for these things to happen, they strive earnestly to make them happen. The result will be a united happy world free from poverty and war. It will be the realization of the utopia for which man has longed since the dawn of history.

The denial of the fact man is a created being, created in the image and likeness leads to totalitarianism in one or more of the forms described above. Totalitarianism based on assumption the individual has little or no importance and his will can be made subservient to that of another individual, group or state.

Classic humanism views man as perfectible and related to something higher than himself. Man's highest goal is to maximize his own personal fulfillment. The development of education, social structures, environmental surroundings and economic well being will bring man to a higher level. The lower animal instincts will be diminished and the human creature will be gradually improved ethically and socially.

On the nature of man, humanism believes man is the supreme ruler. He has the power of reason that is sufficient to help discover the laws of the universe. Reason alone is the key to discovering the laws functioning in the universe. He needs neither God nor special revelation, for these do not exist. Man is sufficient in himself without need for a Supreme Being to guide him. The supreme being and revelation are figments of the imagination.

The result of the human as supreme ruler is a person's first responsibility is to the state. The state is the source of law so therefore is deity. It must be given the proper reverence due to deity. Those who fail to give the state its proper due and meekly submit to its will are rebellious and must suffer whatever punishment the state deems to demand. Serving the state diligently and faithfully will bring a person to his goal which is the good life here on earth.

The evolutionary view of man, makes him a product of accident with no stable or God given nature. Man then is a product of his environment, a blank sheet upon which his the message of his surroundings is written. The malleable man is molded by his upbringing. Those who control the childhood and education of a person control the future. Therefore the social planners seek to gain absolute control over people and especially over education. The state (or other institution such as the Church) holds full control and thus able to produce change. This is totalitarianism.

The individual is a small part of the whole, an appendage which is expendable. The individual exists for the benefit of the state. The reward for faithful service is freedom, safety, law and whatever else the political leaders may deem appropriate. The goal is the building of an earthly Utopia guided by the all wise leader. Refusal to submit and abide by the plan propagated by the wise masters, means the individual can be eliminated by incarceration or annihilation. (Fig. J1)
Darwin's theory of evolution was published in 1859. Socialists realized evolution made their philosophy possible. Man now had the ability to change man so utopia could be produced. Looking back over the nineteenth and twentieth centuries we see the result of the theory. It has produced world-wide totalitarian movements. The stated objective was to be to change human environment to produce a paradise without God. The real agenda was the control of the masses by the elite. The elites were the philosopher-kings of Greek philosophy.

The state has now become god and the means of salvation. Political parties seek and gain power by promising to increase the blessing of "god" to the citizens. Their program is clearly messianic to produce salvation. Poverty, disease, war, and death are foes they promise to conquer. They produce a social safety net that is more than emergency help but rather a cradle to the grave security system. The government shall supply all your needs according to the riches of its taxing power.

The autonomous man develops a view of democracy. Man is fundamentally good and only institutions and bad environment leads him to sin or evil conduct. The dilemma facing those of this view is human beings throughout history have proven to be perverted and sinful. Therefore human beings must be weak

---

3 Salvation and lordship are inseparable facts. ...Because salvation is a total concept, a Saviour has dominion and authority over every realm of life. If his lordship is not total, his salvation is not effectual." Dr. R.J. Rushdoony Christianity and the State pages 26,27
and with little natural goodness. Nature and the human world has replaced God and made the human being controlled by his environment. The emphasis becomes changing man to conform to the ideals of the state as determined by the representatives of the people. Control, propaganda and education are emphasized to promote "the public welfare" but is to further the aims of the party in power.

Human beings are basically good and it is institutions that are evil. The institutions must be destroyed. The ultimate goal is the salvation of humans from these evil institutions and produce to the utopia for which they long. The trek to paradise must be led by the all wise social planners. It may be necessary (as the communists claimed) to coerce people toward that goal. Ultimately the need for government will cease. Humans will have become so refined they will be able to live and conduct their affairs without the hindrances of institutions and governments. (Fig. K1)

In democracy the voice of the people is the voice of god. The voice is supreme against which there is no appeal. The drive to such democracy has led to an abundance of institution and organization to control human life. The theory is if non-democratic institutions such as the church and state have been bad for man and produced evil, good institution will produce righteousness. The church and traditional family must go. They are undemocratic. The family must become democratic giving the children equal voice with the parents if it is to remain. Christian schools, colleges and other institutions must become democratic or cease to exist. The whole plan is to re-create human beings to live in this new utopian society.
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Democracy ultimately comes into conflict with the Church. They have two widely differing views of man. There is no common ground and consequently great hostility between these two philosophies. Democracy moves from the moorings of absolute standards of God's word because it is not democratic. There is a gradual slipping away from Christianity and a moving to humanism. In some cases there is a persecution of Christians. The humanistic politics whether it be monarchy or democracy is opposed to the Christian view. Therefore in modern democracies the Christian is forced to choose between the lesser of the two evils. He must seek to support those who most closely represent Biblical standards. A society changed to live according to God's law will not be democratic but theocratic.

'Human Value'

The atheistic view as taught in humanism sets out high ideals regarding human value. "Religious humanism considers the complete realization of human personality to be the end of man's life and seeks its development and fulfillment in the here and now. This is the explanation of the humanists social passion." They use such eloquent sound phrases as "preciousness and dignity of the individual person is a central humanist value." "To enhance freedom and dignity the individual must experience a full range of civil liberties in all societies."

The view man is an evolutionary product of chance, leads to a devaluing of human beings and human life. Man is an order of animal, a tool of his environment. It leads to a denial of individual human worth. Whales and trees have more value than the unborn, old and infirm. A philosophy is developed in which money, effort and media time are spent in efforts to save stranded whales, or forests, while at the same time killing the unborn babies. Man is said to be the cause of the problems on this planet and therefore it would be better if he didn't exist. It is an anti-human view which aims for a greatly reduced human presence on the earth. The earth going back to nature is the issue.

The humanists on one hand propose such high ideals of human dignity and value, while on the other hand they imply the valuelessness of the human individual and life. "We assert that humanism will: (a) affirm life rather than deny it; (b) seek to elicit the possibilities of life, not flee from it; and (c) endeavor to establish the conditions of a satisfactory life for all not merely the few. ..." The Humanist Manifesto II (1973) under the heading of Democratic Society speaks of freedom and dignity and in the same paragraph speak of the right to die, euthanasia, and the right to suicide.

They affirm life and promote death. Suicide is a leading cause of death among teenagers. In 1990 suicide was fourth in a list of seven top problems in U.S. schools. Teenage suicide increased 200% between 1940 and 1990. They have been taught this life is all there is. There is no future life therefore there is no hope beyond this life. We are simply animals destined to appear on the scene for a brief period

4 "The state is not interested in either a dissenting power or a reforming power; both constitute an evil to the state, and a hindrance to its drive for sovereign power. ... When the state triumphs over and uses the church, state law replaces God's law, because law has its source always in a sovereign power." Dr. R.J. Rushdoony Christianity and the State page 131
5 Humanist Manifesto I (1933) tenet eight, quoted by Dr. Ed Rowe New Age Globalism page 94
6 Humanist Manifest II (1973) tenants five and seven quoted by Dr. Rowe page 105 and 106
7 Humanist Manifesto I (1933) tenant fifteen page 95 Ibid.
of time and then disappear into oblivion, therefore life has no ultimate purpose. There is no God who will judge the wrongs and reward good deeds, therefore when life becomes unbearable, you can bail out.

The right to die is another description of suicide. The individual or his doctor can play God and determine the optimal moment of death. Suffering has no value and when one is tired of this life he can plan his death. Death is the goal not life. Once again being an animal without a soul one is not an eternal being. Therefore death is an escape from the difficulties of this life. It is an escape into non-existence.

Abortion or a woman's right to choose becomes an acceptable alternative. It is another doctrine of death. The unborn child does not get to choose. The Humanist Manifesto II (1973) in its sixth tenet states; "The right to ... abortion... should be recognized." The killing of the thousands of unborn babies currently taking place in our world is a holocaust of unprecedented proportions. Hitler's slaughter of Christians, Jews and others has already been insignificant compared to the slaughter of innocent children.

Pro-choice means pro-death. It is selfish indulgence at the expense of the helpless life of the unborn child. Since abortion was legalized in 1973 in the United States there have been 28 million abortions in that country.8

A woman does have the right to choose. She can choose not to fornicate. If she does, she should bear the consequences of her adultery. A married woman impregnated by her husband, is then fulfilling the natural function she has been given.

Euthanasia is the next step after suicide and abortion. An individual plays God and ends his life and this is not only acceptable but good. A pregnant woman disposes of unwanted tissue. Now we can move to the next logical step. Some all knowing individuals, be they family, medical personnel, the individual himself or any other designated body can play God and end a life. Often linked to the right to die in regard to suffering persons, even with the best of safe guards it becomes murder. When the individual becomes too old, infirm, or in any way a drain on society, then like a sick animal, they can be disposed of, and society is relieved of responsibility.

Murder can easily be accepted. We have seen in the previous paragraphs that humanism promotes and condones certain kinds of murders. It is difficult to see how they can justify these murders and punish others. The young offender can commit murder and receive five or fewer years incarceration for that crime. Even adult offenders are often out of prison in seven to ten years. This comes very close to condoning murder. We know society's value of life and its attitude to the taking of life by the punishment it inflicts. To-day the victim's life has very little value. We should not be surprised it is simply a logical conclusion to the evolutionary view of man as an animal developed to his present state by chance. (Fig. L1)

8 The Index of Leading Cultural Indicators, William J. Bennett (Empower America and the Heritage Foundations quoted in 30 Days of Prayer Fact Sheet - undated)
Sexual activities whatever they may be are a natural function and therefore there is no such thing as sexual immorality. "...We reject all religious, ideological or moral codes that denigrate the individual, suppress freedom, dull intellect, dehumanize personality. We believe in maximum individual autonomy consonant with social responsibility... ."9

In the area of sexuality, we believe that intolerant attitudes, often cultivated by orthodox religions and puritanical cultures, unduly repress sexual conduct. ...neither do we wish to prohibit, by law or social sanction, sexual behavior between consenting adults. The many varieties of sexual exploration should not in themselves be considered "evil". ...individuals should be permitted to express their sexual proclivities and pursue their lifestyles as they desire. ...

Homosexuality, bigamy, polygamy, multiple partners or any other sexual deviancy is condoned by these statements. There is no right or wrong therefore how ever the corrupt human nature desires to express itself sexuality is condoned. One would presume bestiality is also acceptable. The only limitation is that another is not exploited. The resulting promiscuous sexual activity has produced increase venereal diseases and AIDS. Let us not forget, that despite the propaganda AIDS is a disease of homosexuals and drug users. It has come into the heterosexual community through blood transfusions and bisexuals.

Marriage comes under attack in the atheistic system. Marriage is seen as obsolete. Scientific advancement has made it possible to produce children in a laboratory. Parenting could be done by any number of parents who desire to share the responsibility for children living in communities. Marriage like any other exclusive relationship would die as an unnecessary thing from the past.

The marriage vows become so long as we both shall love. Often young people go into marriage with the idea it is not a permanent thing. Divorce laws have been liberalized so the dissolving of the marriage is easy. Divorce and remarriage has brought considerable problems to the modern society. It is acknowledged monogamy provides the best happiness in the most cases. It is the way of least problems. This utilitarian view leads many humanists into at least a monogamous relationship with each partner.

There are other alternatives to marriage which will eventually replace it. Arrangements like conscentual co-habitation, - often called common law marriage, - open marriages, communal living, co-marital sex, bisexuaal and homosexual will become the norm for human experience. These will be found to be so fulfilling marriage will be considered archaic. Ultimately marriage and family will disappear, being replaced by these more modern and acceptable forms of intimate relationship. I suppose the fairly recent AIDS crisis may cause a rethink in this area. If it does, it will not be based on moral principle but rather on expediency. This is utilitarian and does not change the underlying principle.

Atheism denying God has no fear of God. There is no Higher power to which we must all answer therefore whatever we can do and escape the consequences in this life is good. The criteria becomes what I can get away with. The breaking of covenant, contracts or any form of deception is moral as long as you do not get caught. Those who get caught are fools. If they had been wiser they would have prospered and no one would have known their deceit.

Private property and the right of ownership are devalued under atheistic systems. We are here by

9 Ibid.
10 Humanist Manifesto II (1973) tenet five quoted by Dr. Rowe page 105
chance, part of the herd therefore everything is the property of all. An individual does not have the right to own his property. Neither does he have the right to protect it. He can not in most cases exclude those he considers undesirable from that property. He must pay the government the rental due in order to have the use of the property.

Evolution leads to a utilitarian view of man. A person only has value to themselves as they have satisfying experiences. The same person has value to others only as they find satisfaction in him. Persons are valued solely on the basis of people experiences, individually, collectively. Their actions are valued in terms of their tangible consequences.

Minorities are valued on the basis of experience and usefulness. They would have no intrinsic God given value. Therefore if it is deemed to the greater happiness of the majority, promises and protection to the minority could be broken. The matter of justice is not a concern.

The atheistic view, with its theory of evolution leads ultimately to anarchy. We are presently witnessing a break down in our society. The rejection of authority in the home, school, church and society at large demonstrates an acceptance of human autonomy. Rebellious individuality is a rejection of God and His authority and setting the individual will as the governing authority. The will becomes the law maker and the god. (Fig. M1)

The anarchy that is developing is related directly to the presuppositions of the authority in society. They can formulate plans, pass laws but until they change their basic presuppositions the chaos will continue. Even totalitarianism would only be a temporary answer. Authoritarian government can control the population only so long then it breaks out in revolt.
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